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How does NFS 4.1 (pNFS) work?

Stolen from:
http://www.pnfs.com/

Plus
✓ Mandatory security
✓ Compound RPC’s
What is the NFS 4.1 initiative?

- Industry initiative between all the major storage and OS vendors.
- Coordinated by CITI at the University of Michigan.
- It is an WLCG demonstrator.
- Funded effort within the European Middleware Initiative.
- Major effort in dCache
  - For non LCG communities
  - Hopefully for HEP as well
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Who is behind NFS 4.1 (pNFS)?

Stolen from: http://www.pnfs.com/

Industry Support - Implementations

Clients
- Linux
- Sun (Solaris)

Servers
- DESY
- EMC
- IBM
- Linux
- NetApp
- Panasas
- Sun (Solaris)

Several other implementations have been tested at Bake-a-thons and Connectathons

Presented at SC’08
Why are they interested?

Stolen from: http://www.pnfs.com/

Benefits of Parallel I/O

- Delivers Very High Application Performance
- Allows for Massive Scalability without diminished performance

Benefits of NFS (or most any standard)

- Ensures Interoperability among vendor solutions
- Allows Choice of best-of-breed products
- Eliminates Risks of deploying proprietary technology
Why is HEP interested?

- Don’t have to care about client software anymore.
- No specific ROOT drivers (dCap, rfio, xroot). Just ‘open /foo/blah’
- Less software components to maintain.
- Can be used by unmodified applications (e.g. Mathematica®)
- regular mount-point as any other FS e.g. /afs, /pnfs.
- File/Block caching algorithms provided by professional computer scientists within the OS kernel.

More more arguments see:

“11 reasons you should care” by Gerd Behrmann

At dCache.org/manuals
Within the European Middleware Initiative, DPM, dCache and very likely StoRM will provide an NFS 4.1 (pNFS) interface.

Imposed by the EC: EMI will only fund standards.

dCache production ready: 1.9.10
DPM: pNFS being finished these days.
NFS 4.1 (pNFS) evaluation in dCache
Our NFS 4.1 (pNFS) small Tier II?

About 50% av. Tier II CPU
20% av. Tier II Storage
Dedicated to NFS 4.1 evaluation
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NFS 4.1 / dCap evaluation logic
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class of test

- Stability evaluation
- Simple I/O testing
- ROOT tests
- ATLAS HammerCloud

All tests done with:

dCache 1.9.10
SL 5.3 2.6.36-rc3.pnfs
Stability
Stability

- CFEL Production Transfers from SLAC to DESY
  - 13 TBytes over 10 days
  - 100 GBytes average file size
  - No crash, no unexpected behaviour
- Un-taring Linux Kernel into NFS 4.1
  - No crash
- High-latency test
  - Recursive ‘ls –l’ over 60,000 files via DSL from home.
  - Finished w/o problem.
- 4 days at 330 MB/sec sustained Hammercloud. (stopped after 4 days)
- 128 Processes writing into the same file
  - Client nodes get stuck
  - Server was still ok
Simple I/O
Simple I/O Setup

Either

```
dccp <filename> /dev/null`
```

Or

```
cat <filename> /dev/null
```

Only interested in protocol performance. Preventing any client side caching effect.

✓ Reading each file only once.
✓ Reading files sequentially only.
Yves reported at CHEP’10

- Simple I/O
  - Reading file to /dev/null
  - No caching (read once, not jumping around in file)
  - A maximum of 128 clients (16 nodes)

- NFS behaves better than dCap up to a certain limit
- We have no definite answer for this effect, suppose congestion on the server
  - Probably due to undersized storage

→ Needs further investigation
Remove disk resp. server side FS effects

**Client**

- ROOT Application
- TTreeCache
- Protocol Driver (Txxx)
  - file://
  - dCap://
- Protocol Driver (NFS 4.1)
- FS Cache
- KERNEL

**dCache Pool (Server)**

- Protocol Engines (dCap, NFS)
- FS Cache
- Primary Storage (Disk)
- KERNEL

**All data cached**

- Remove disk resp. server side FS effects
Removing server disk congestion effect by keeping all data in file system cache of the pool.

Limited WN
1GB network

Limited 20 GB network

Limited by disk bandwidth

Total throughput doesn’t depend on the protocol.
ROOT
• New ROOT version 5.27.06, compiled with dCap support
• Files provided by René Brun: atlasFlushed.root (re-organized files with optimized buffers) and AOD.067184.big.pool_4.root (some other original file) (optimized: 1GByte, original 1.3 GByte)
• Test script provided by René: simple script reading events: taodr.C
• Different test runs:
  – Reading via NFS or dCap
  – Reading with 60MByte TreeCache, or with 0Byte TreeCache
  – Reading all branches or only 2 branches
  – 32, 64, 128, 192 or 256 jobs running in parallel

• Last minute-result! Have not spoken with ROOT people!
ROOT: Non optimized files, 2 trees only

- Non optimized files
- Reading only 2 trees.
- TTreeCache does vector read with dCap.
- VR = fadvise disabled in ROOT for NFS.

Initial load into pool file system cache.
ROOT: optimized versus non optimized files

2 trees only

Non optimized files

Optimized files

Initial load into pool file system cache.

NFS, with TTreeCache

NFS, no TTreeCache

dCap, with TTreeCache

dCap, no TTreeCache
ROOT: optimized versus non optimized files

All trees

Non optimized files

Optimized files

NFS, with TTreeCache

NFS, no TTreeCache

dCap, with TTreeCache

ROOT: optimized versus non optimized files

All trees

Non optimized files

Optimized files

NFS, with TTreeCache

NFS, no TTreeCache

dCap, with TTreeCache

Real Time / sec

Threads

Threads
The above evaluation doesn’t at all use client side caching

But

- From evaluation (last hepix) we know that caching is 50 % of the game.
- This can be achieved by
  - TTreeCache for ROOT application
  - dCap ++ (see Patrick’s talk at Lisboa Hepix) any application using dCap.
  - Or client file system cache for NFS 4.1 (pNFS)
- For ROOT application, the TTreeCache has a slight advantage, as it knows the structure of the ROOT files and can act accordingly
The vector read magic

The above evaluation demonstrates the advantages of Vector-Read by ROOT.

- Vector read can only be used through proprietary protocols (dCap,..)

- The file system semantics doesn’t allow direct vector read. (bad)

- However, the is the famous ‘fadvise’ file system call:
  - Advised the file system (kernel) to prefetch certain portions of a file, if CPU time allows.
  - If those portions are read later, they are already available in the FS cache.

- Has been added to the ‘file://’ driver of ROOT and, according to Fons, improved access with ‘file://’ by up to 20%.

- Has been removed from the code again because it spoiled the TTreeCache I/O statistics. (very bad).
Fadvise in ROOT

Reply by Rene

I did not disable it because it was polluting the TTreePerfStats statistics (minor point), but mainly because in general (and in particular with optimized trees) it was introducing a performance penalty ranging from 1 to 20%.

• Has been removed from the code again because it spoiled the TTreeCache I/O statistics. (very bad).
Hammer Cloud
• 8248 jobs in total
• Cancelled after 4 days
• Longest single test we did
  – No trouble during test
• Reasonable outcomes (events/s,...)
• No comparison made to dCap (yet)
Client (kernel) availability
• Kernel used for evaluation: 2.6.36_rc3

• NFS 4.1 (pNFS) kernels expected in SL6.(>2)

• 2.6.36 back-port to SL5 available from DESY
  – Plus ‘mount tools’ RPM.
  – Kernel will very likely not cover all hardware setups.

• With a Joined Effort (e.g. CERN, FNAL, DESY), we would be able to provide an SL5 with NFS 4.1 (pNFS) kernel within months. (If we really want)
commit a4dd8dce14014665862ce7911b38cb2c69e366dd
Merge: b18cae4 411b5e0
Author: Linus Torvalds <torvalds@linux-foundation.org>
Date:  Tue Oct 26 09:52:09 2010 -0700

Merge branch 'nfs-for-2.6.37' of
git://git.linux-nfs.org/projects/trondmy/nfs-2.6.git

* 'nfs-for-2.6.37' of git://git.linux-nfs.org/projects/trondmy/nfs-2.6:
  net/sunrpc: Use static const char arrays
  nfs4: fix channel attribute sanity-checks
  NFSv4.1: Use more sensible names for 'initialize_mountpoint'
  NFSv4.1: pnfs: filelayout: add driver's LAYOUTGET and
  GETDEVICEINFO infrastructure
  NFSv4.1: pnfs: add LAYOUTGET and GETDEVICEINFO infrastructure
  NFS: client needs to maintain list of inodes with active layouts
  NFS: create and destroy inode's layout cache
  NFSv4.1: pnfs: filelayout: introduce minimal file layout driver
  NFSv4.1: pnfs: full mount/umount infrastructure
  NFS: set layout driver
  NFS: ask for layouttypes during v4 fsinfo call
  NFS: change stateid to be a union
  NFSv4.1: pnfsd, pnfs: protocol level pnfs constants
  SUNRPC: define xdr_decode_opaque_fixed
  NFSD: remove duplicate NFS4_STATEID_SIZE

First part of pNFS now in 2.6.37
Next Steps

- More details at CHEP’10 by Yves and Dmitri.
- More investigation with various different ROOT setups.
- Working with the CMS official test-case.
- Investigating X509 Certificate/Proxy security.
- Wide area transfer evaluation. (DPM, dCache, DESY, CERN)
- Setting up a regular NFS 4.1 (pNFS) system e.g. : NetApp and Pillar.
- Evaluation by the HEPIX working group.
- Trying to find groups as guinea-pigs for NFS4.1 production.
• Stability is much better than expected : Production ready.
• Kernel situation : short term solution for SL5 would be available, if we want.
• pNFS is partially already in 2.6.37
• Performance already comparable with existing solutions.
• Nevertheless : more evaluation on ROOT framework interaction needed. (vector read, fadvise)
• Efforts will continue within the EMI/dCache.org framework.
• You want to volunteer ?
  – Get dCache 1.9.10 from dCache.org
  – Get nfs enabled kernel : http://www.dcache.org/chimera/x86_64/
dCache birthday

Stolen from Dmitry Litvintsev OSG presentation.