Critical SRM 2.2 methods for Data Management tools

Gavin McCance, Paolo Badino, Jean-Phillipe Baud 12 January 2007

This note describes the SRM 2.2 methods which must be implemented by the SRM providers in order to provide basic SRM 2.2 functionality for the WLCG data management tools.

| | Required for FTS | Required for GFAL / |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | lcg-utils |
| srmMkdir | YES | YES |
| srmRm | YES | YES |
| Synchronous srmLs for file and | YES | YES |
| directory stat | | |
| (numlevel =0) | | |
| srmGetSpaceToken | YES | YES |
| srmPrepareToGet | YES | YES |
| srmStatusOfGetRequest | YES | YES |
| srmPrepareToPut | YES | YES |
| srmStatusOfPutRequest | YES | YES |
| srmCopy | For SRM copy mode (2) | - |
| srmStatusOfCopyRequest | For SRM copy mode (2) | - |
| srmReleaseFile | YES | YES |
| srmPutDone | YES | YES |
| srmAbortRequest | YES | YES |
| srmAbortFiles | - | YES |
| srmPing | YES | YES |
| srmGetProtocols | - | YES |
| | | |
| srmBringOnline | (1) | For the requested |
| _ | · · | pre-staging lcg-util |
| srmStatusOfBringOnlineRequest | (1) | For the requested |
| | . , | pre-staging lcg-util |

- (1) Future pre-staging functionality in FTS would rely on these methods
- (2) SRM copy must pass the client-requested space type and token to the destination SRM

In order to achieve stable production, it is also critical that the SRM behave the same way. This means that:

• the method call pattern must be the same. i.e. the sequence in which methods must be called is the same for all implementations, both for the success use-case and for the error cases;

- the parameters to be set on all implementations must be the same for the same desired behaviour;
- the exposed SRM state machine must be the same for all implementations;
- the methods must return the same codes on success;
- the methods must return the same error codes upon all classes of failure;
- any ambiguities in the standard or disagreements between the SRM providers upon its interpretation for the above methods must be resolved.

Any branching in behaviour where the client is required do different things dependant on the type of SRM backend will likely introduce significant delays to the deployment of a production quality service based on SRM 2.2.